

# DEFINITION OF READY

(Defines that a user story is ready to be assigned to a sprint)

#	Asset	Output	Actors
<b>1. Creative Conception</b>			
1.1.	Design specification aligned to standard grids, components (12 columns)	Zepelin document Invision document	RAIN
1.2.	Design specification includes of all form factors: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>desktop</li> <li>mobile</li> <li>tablet (portrait, landscape)</li> </ul>	Zepelin document Invision document	RAIN
1.3.	Media query breakpoint behavior defined (difference between desktop/tables design, including padding, font size etc.)	Zepelin document Invision document	RAIN
1.4.	Provide any detailed description of expected UX behavior	Word document	GAF
1.5	UI Kit Mid Fidelity prototype <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>UI Kits created for Sketch to ensure standardization at design time and scalability of design activity.</li> <li>Link to Sketch provided to EPAM</li> </ul>	Sketch document	RAIN
1.6	UI Kit High Fidelity Prototype <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Provide finished prototypes in the form of Invision or Zepelin</li> <li>Link to Zepelin provided to EPAM</li> <li>Development access provided to EPAM (Invision inspect mode is available)</li> </ul>	Zepelin document Invision document	RAIN
1.7	This user story was covered by Working Transfer Session (RAIN to EPAM)	Meeting	RAIN/GAF/EPAM
1.8	Prototypes reviewed by EPAM All questions answered		EPAM
<b>2. Business Analysis</b>			
2.1.	User Stories Created	JIRA	EPAM/GAF
2.2.	Reuse/updated/new components identified and agreed with GAF	JIRA	EPAM/GAF
2.3.	Acceptance criteria defined (Visual, Content Authoring)	JIRA	EPAM/GAF
2.4.	All datasources are clarified and clear	JIRA\Word Document	GAF/EPAM

### 3. Planning

3.1.	User Story Prioritized	JIRA backlog	GAF
3.2.	User Story Estimated in SP	JIRA	EPAM

\*\*\*\*\*

## DEFINITION OF DEVELOPMENT DONE

(A user story is ready to go to UAT)

#	Asset	Output	Actors																
<b>1. UX Design</b>																			
1.1.	A user story was tested on all the following Desktop Browsers: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Google Chrome (latest version).</li> <li>Microsoft Internet Explorer 11.</li> <li>Safari (latest version).</li> <li>Mozilla Firefox (latest version)</li> <li>Microsoft Edge (latest version)</li> </ul>	JIRA	EPAM DEV EPAM QA																
1.2.	A user story was tested on each of the following Desktop Resolutions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1920x1080</li> <li>1366x768</li> <li>1024x768</li> </ul>	JIRA	EPAM DEV EPAM QA																
1.3.	A user story was tested on all of the following Mobile Browsers (landscape/portrait): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Google Chrome (current version for Android)</li> <li>Safari (current version for iOS)</li> </ul> A user story was tested on all of the following Devices: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>iPhone Xs (GAF Mobile farm)</li> <li>Pixel 2 XL (Chrome emulator)</li> </ul>	JIRA	EPAM DEV EPAM QA																
1.4.	A user story was tested on all of the following Tablets (landscape/portrait) <table border="1" data-bbox="201 1440 1151 1623"> <thead> <tr> <th>Device</th> <th>UIKit Size (Points)</th> <th>Retina Factor</th> <th>Browsers</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>iPad Pro (9.7-inch)</td> <td>768 x 1024</td> <td>2.0</td> <td>Safari/Chrome</td> </tr> <tr> <td>iPad Pro 12.9-inch (2nd generation)</td> <td>1024 x 1366</td> <td>2.0</td> <td>Safari/Chrome</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Samsung GalaxySM-T580</td> <td>10,1" (1920x1200)</td> <td>N/A</td> <td>Chrome</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Device	UIKit Size (Points)	Retina Factor	Browsers	iPad Pro (9.7-inch)	768 x 1024	2.0	Safari/Chrome	iPad Pro 12.9-inch (2nd generation)	1024 x 1366	2.0	Safari/Chrome	Samsung GalaxySM-T580	10,1" (1920x1200)	N/A	Chrome	JIRA	EPAM DEV EPAM QA
Device	UIKit Size (Points)	Retina Factor	Browsers																
iPad Pro (9.7-inch)	768 x 1024	2.0	Safari/Chrome																
iPad Pro 12.9-inch (2nd generation)	1024 x 1366	2.0	Safari/Chrome																
Samsung GalaxySM-T580	10,1" (1920x1200)	N/A	Chrome																
1.5.	BE Unit tests created and passed.		EPAM DEV																
<b>2. Reusability</b>																			
2.1.	Test each page type where the component occurs (not just one-page type) The list of pages should be described in the AC	JIRA	EPAM DEV EPAM QA																

	<i>(Examples: home page, product category page, commercial product page, residential product page and so on)</i>		
2.2.	Developer added note to JIRA ticket about impact of changes to other components/page types  <i>(Including where script/css or backend classes/functions can be used in other components)</i>	JIRA	EPAM DEV
<b>3. Testing</b>			
3.1.	Zephyr test cases exists (US, CR, Task)	JIRA	EPAM QA
3.2	Zephyr test cases passed (US, CR, Task)	JIRA	EPAM QA
3.2	Developers checked for conformance to exact design/style guideline measurements <i>(across all browsers, device factors, resolutions)</i>		EPAM DEV
3.3.	Content Authoring of brand-new content tested in Experience Editor. Content Authoring of editing existing content tested in Experience Editor. <i>(Testing is performed according to A/C)</i>		EPAM DEV EPAM QA
3.4	Testing: Try to break the thing (e.g. leave some fields empty)		EPAM QA EPAM DEV
3.5	Performance test didn't get worse		EPAM
3.6	Document updated to describe component usage for CMS authors		EPAM
3.7	Developers verify all TDS items are correct (Content items – Deploy once, Templates and Renderings – Deploy Always)		EPAM DEV
3.8	Code was code reviewed by someone else		EPAM DEV
3.10	Component was tested against copy of website restored from PROD by the start of a sprint.		EPAM DevOps
3.11	All reported subbugs fixed (not added to the backlog)		EPAM DEV EPAM QA
3.12	Help text present in Content Editor for the component		EPAM DEV EPAM QA

Review of DoR and DoD should be done every 6 months.